

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Practitioner's Docket No. MI22-1171

JUN 08 2005

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Akram et al., Salman

Application No.: 09/292,132
Filed: 04/14/99

Group No.: 2812
Examiner: S. Mulpuri

For: Methods of Forming a Transistor Gate

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:

1. Fax Transmittal
2. Examiner Interview Summary of June 7, 2005

June 8, 2005
Date

Julie A. Foster
Julie A. Foster

3 pages total

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUN 08 2005**

MI22-1171

Application Serial No. 09/292,132
Examiner Interview Summary of June 7, 2005**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application Serial No.09/292,132
Filing DateApril 14, 1999
Inventor..... Salman Akram
AssigneeMicron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit.....2812
Examiner Savitri Mulpuri
Attorney's Docket No.MI22-1171

Title: Methods of Forming a Transistor Gate

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF JUNE 7, 2005

To: Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: D. Brent Kenady
Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424
Wells St. John P.S.
601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Mulpuri on June 7, 2005.

Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Mulpuri for her time and attention to this matter.

Examiner Mulpuri alleged that independent claim 88 was not supported by the disclosure of the originally-filed application. After reviewing the prosecution history of this application, Applicant's representative noted that this allegation had not been presented as a rejection against claim 88, and therefore, if maintained, would be a new rejection which has not been made of record. Accordingly, Applicant's representative stated that if the


Application Serial No. 09/292,132
Examiner Interview Summary of June 7, 2005

MI22-1171

Examiner wanted to maintain the allegation, such allegation would need to be put in writing as a new rejection in a newly submitted Office Action.

Applicant's representative is greatly appreciative of the efforts and time put in to this matter by Examiner Mulpuri.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 6-8-05By: 
D. Brent Kenady
Reg. No. 40,045